UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,683	09/05/2006	Young SEO	1-36919 1478	
	7590 03/16/200 MENS MARTIN & MI	EXAMINER		
28366 KENSIN	IGTON LANE	SULLIVAN, DEBRA M		
PERRYSBURG, OH 43551			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

miller@fraser-ip.com sloan@fraser-ip.com clemens@fraser-ip.com

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/591,683		SEO, YOUNG				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Debra M. S		3725				
The MAILING D. Period for Reply	ATE of this communication a	appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be averafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specional Failure to reply within the set	CUTORY PERIOD FOR REF GER, FROM THE MAILING ailable under the provisions of 37 CFR the mailing date of this communication. fied above, the maximum statutory peri- tor extended period for reply will, by stal ice later than three months after the mant. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will of tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to co	ommunication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	Sentember 20	06					
2a) This action is FII	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	his action is no						
/ <u>—</u>	,			secution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-11</i> is/	are pending in the application	on.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) i								
	are subject to restriction and	d/or election red	uirement.					
Application Papers	,		,					
•	is objected to by the Exami			–				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
• • • • • •	request that any objection to the	÷ , ,	•	* ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited		4	l)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/2006. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 2 be found allowable, claim 4 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thoms et al (US Patent # 5,377,520). Thoms et al discloses an apparatus (1') for forming an article from a blank of sheet metal (8) comprising a first die member (7') having a cavity (2') formed therein, means for producing a magnetic field (23) disposed adjacent the cavity in the die (7') for restraining movement of the blank of sheet metal (8) [See col. 4 lines 56-60], a second die member (3') for reciprocal movement toward and away from cavity (2') formed in the first die member (7'), means for imparting selective reciprocal movement of the second die member (3') [See col. 4 lines 8-14 and control means for selectively energizing the means for producing a magnetic field to retrain movement of the blank of sheet metal (8) during the reciprocal movement of the second die (3') [See col. 6 lines 16-20, it is noted that it is inherent that the

means for producing a magnetic field is controlled by a control means in order to activate and deactivate the magnetic field].

In reference to claims 2 and 4, Thoms et al further discloses the means for producing a magnetic field includes a plurality of electromagnets (23) [See col. 4 lines 56-60].

In reference to claims 3 and 5, the cavity (2') includes an open end, as seen in figure 5.

In reference to claim 6, Thoms et al further discloses the electromagnets (23) are disposed in spaced relation about the open end of the cavity (2'), as seen in figure 5.

In reference to claim 7, it is inherent that the device of Thoms et al includes a control means having a microprocessor for controlling the strength of the magnetic field produced by the electromagnets (23) since the activation and deactivation of the electromagnets (23) corresponds to the movement of the gripper spider (9) and the magnetic field has to be sufficient to maintain the sheet blank (8) in the pre-formed shaped [See col. 6 lines 16-20]/

In reference to claim 8, it is inherent that the device of Thoms et al includes a control means that has a source of power coupled to the electromagnets (23) through the microprocessor in order to activate and deactivate the electromagnets (23).

In reference to claim 11, Thoms et al discloses a method for forming an article from a blank of sheet metal (8) including the steps of providing a first die member (7') having a cavity (2') formed therein, disposing a plurality of electromagnets (23) in spaced relation about the cavity (2') in the die (7') for restraining movement of the blank of sheet metal (8) [See col. 4 lines 56-60], positioning a blank of sheet metal (8) over the cavity (2') of the first die member (7'), providing a second die member (3') mounted for reciprocal movement toward and away from the cavity (2') formed in the first die member (7'), providing means for imparting selective

Art Unit: 3725

reciprocal movement of the second die member (3') [See col. 4 lines 8-14] and selectively energizing the electromagnets (23) to restrain movement of the blank of sheet metal (8) during the reciprocal movement of the second die (3') [See col. 6 lines 16-20; FIG 5].

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thoms et al in view of Stuart (US Patent # 5,212,977). Thoms et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the control means includes an armature means cooperating with the electromagnets and the armature means includes a separate armature with each electromagnet. However, Stuart teaches that it is know in the electromagnetic art to provide a control means having a separate armature (146) for each electromagnet (142) in order to control the current supplied to the electromagnet to achieve the desired holding force [See col. 8 lines 3-13]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the control means of Thoms et al in include a separate armature for each electromagnet in order to control the current supplied to the electromagnets to be sufficient to provide the desired holding force for the blank of sheet metal.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,683

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Debra Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1904. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday - Thursday 10am - 8pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached at (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Debra M Sullivan/

Examiner, Art Unit 3725

/Dana Ross/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725